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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To assess the length of stay using Continuous Positive pressure ventilation (CPAP) during weaning in post bypass patients in cardiac ICU and compared this procedure with MV (Mechanical ventilation) by analyzing cardiac and respiratory parameters and complications.

Material and Methods: A randomized clinical trial was conducted from June 2011 to July 2012 with patients in the cardiac ICU of Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar Pakistan. Patients of both sexes and ages between 45-70 years, post bypass surgeries and were on mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours, who failed at 30 minutes of spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) were included in the study. Sealed envelopes were used for random assignment. The established weaning criteria was routinely followed in the ICU. Before SBT, the following measurements were carried out: arterial blood gases; parameters of ventilation such as f (frequency of breaths), V (Tidal volume), PEEP (Positive end expiratory pressure), FIO2(Fraction of inspired oxygen), HR(Heart rate), systolic (SBP) diastolic (DBP) blood pressure and SpO2 (Oxygen saturation). SBT, 30 minutes was given. If failure occurred before the 30th minute, he/she was included in the group previously defined by random assignment. Patients in the experimental group were extubated and placed on CPAP whereas the other patients (the control group) returned to MV, which was classified as the conventional treatment. Spontaneous ventilation mode using a bi-level CPAP support was used in experimental group immediately after tracheal extubation. The interface chosen was facemask. Daily SBT was carried out thereafter in order to evaluate the possibility of extubation in control group.

Results: Eighty patients who failed T-piece trials ventilation, 40 were placed on CPAP and 40 on intermittent mandatory ventilation or mechanical ventilation (IMV). The ages of patients in the CPAP and IMV groups were 45.7± 18.12 and 47.10 ± 18.44 years respectively. In both groups, ventilation time before T-piece trial was 2 days or 48 hours. Heart and respiratory parameters were similar for the two groups at 30 minutes of T-piece trial. The percentage of minor complications in both groups were lower. The comparisons of gas measurements between the CPAP and IMV groups showed no significant differences. Patients of the CPAP group had a shorter stay in the ICU and in the hospital i.e 2.95 ±0.78 days versus 7.44+1.12 days for IMV group (Table No 2). Mortality was similar in the two groups. Of the 80 patients in both groups no serious complications were seen on ventilator support while discharged from the ICU.

Conclusion: The combination of early extubation and CPAP is a good alternative for ventilation in a group of patients who initially failed weaning. The length of stay is significantly reduced in Cardiac ICU, compared to Mechanical ventilation. Therefore, CPAP is a useful and safe strategy that may be considered during mechanical ventilation weaning.
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Continuous Positive pressure ventilation (CPAP) has been found to improve oxygenation equally as effective as conventional mechanical ventilation in improving pulmonary gas exchange in case of respiratory failure. It has been reported that length of stay is shorter in the intensive care unit (ICU) compared to mechanical ventilation. Respiratory failure needs mechanical ventilation to rest, respiratory muscles and to improve gas exchange in case of respiratory failure. Prolonged Ventilation is usually associated with ventilator induced pneumonia, and hence increased morbidity and mortality.

Patient’s are ventilated by CPAP mode with pressure support by means of oronasal, nasal, or total face mask at the patient-ventilator interface. Patient also maintains the ability to speak and cough. CPAP has been reported to reduce tachypnea, provides rest to the respiratory muscles, improves oxygenation and hence improves clinical outcomes in desired patients.

A number of studies have been done, which supports CPAP ventilation. Nava and colleagues, in a randomized clinical trial, found lower mortality with the application of CPAP in 50 patients having failed trials for spontaneous ventilation compared with Mechanical ventilation. Girault and colleagues compared Positive pressure ventilation with mechanical ventilation in 33 patients after a failed trials for spontaneous ventilation for 2-hour, and found a reduction in total mechanical ventilation time in the CPAP group. Ferrer and colleagues in one of his study suggested that Positive pressure ventilation be assessed as a means to facilitate weaning from mechanical ventilation in patients having failed trials for spontaneous ventilation. Later a meta-analysis documented that non invasive positive pressure ventilation (CPAP) reduces mortality and facilitates early weaning compared to intermittent mandatory ventilation.

Our study was to assess and compare the length of stay by the application of CPAP during weaning from mechanical ventilation, in post bypass patients in an ICU in our own setup and to analyze the outcome in terms of cardiac and respiratory parameters, clinical course, and complications in both groups.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was approved by the Institutional Research and Ethics Committee of Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar Pakistan. A randomized clinical trial was conducted from June 2011 to July 2012 with patients in the cardiac ICU of Lady Reading Hospital Peshawar Pakistan. Patients of both sexes and ages between 35-70 years, post bypass surgeries and were on Mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours, who failed at 30 minutes of spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) were included in the study.

The established weaning criteria was routinely followed in the ICU i-e improvement of the cause of Acute respiratory failure that led to the use of ventilation support, correction of arterial hypoxemia (arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) of greater than 60 mm Hg, fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of less than or equal to 0.4, and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of less than or equal to 5 cm H₂O during pressure support ventilation. All patients were breathing at low levels of pressure support ventilation (less than 15 cm H₂O). Fully consciousness level having Glasgow coma score of greater than or equal to 13, not on vasoactive drug and have an adequate cough reflex are included in the study.

Failure or intolerance at 30 minutes of SBT was defined according to one of the following criteria: peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) measured by pulse oximetry of less than 90% (80% in chronic respiratory failure), respiratory rate (f) of greater than 35 respirations per minute, heart rate (HR) of greater than 140 or less than 50 beats per minute (bpm) or increase or decrease of greater than 20% in previous mechanical ventilation, and systolic arterial blood pressure of greater than 180 mm Hg or less than 70 mm Hg or increase or decrease of greater than 20% in previous mechanical ventilation. Agitated or non-cooperative behavior patients and having tracheotomy, excessive respiratory secretion, and unwilling for study were excluded from the study.

**Data collection**

Patients were included in the study after an informed consent form, signed by a family member or guardian. Patients considered apt to undergo the weaning procedure were given spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) for at least 30 minutes. At that moment, if the patients had failed SBT, they will be put into one of the two studied groups i-e either CPAP or IMV (Mechanical ventilation). Sealed envelopes were used for random assignment.

Before SBT and after 30 min of SBT, the following measurements were carried out, arterial blood gases, parameters of ventilation such as f , V̅ₐ , PEEP , FiO₂, HR (Heart rate), systolic (SBP) diastolic (DBP) blood pressure and SpO₂. If the patient failed SBT, he/she was included in the group previously defined by random assignment. Patients in the experimental group were extubated and placed on CPAP whereas the other patients (the control group) returned to IMV (mechanical ventilation), which was classified as the conventional treatment. The group on CPAP (the experimental group)
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was extubated after having rested in the mechanical ventilation for 30 minutes in the experimental group. Immediately after tracheal extubation, spontaneous ventilation mode using a bi-level CPAP support was used. Inspiratory positive airway pressure was delivered according to patient tolerance and varied from 10 to 30 cm H\textsubscript{2}O.

FiO\textsubscript{2} was set according to an SpO\textsubscript{2} of greater than 90%, as measured by pulse oximetry. Expiratory positive airway pressure was set to provide adequate gas exchange. CPAP mask is chosen as the interface. Weaning from CPAP was performed on a daily basis by gradually reducing pressure levels and FiO\textsubscript{2} until adequate tidal volumelevels could be reached and proper alveolar ventilation could be established. In the control group, mechanical ventilation followed the previously administrated ICU ventilation support routinely. Daily SBT was carried out thereafter in order to evaluate the possibility of extubation. X-Ray chest were carried out daily in order to compare improvement in two groups and to exclude any lung collapse during ICU stay.

RESULTS

Of 80 patients who failed spontaneous breathing trail, 40 were placed on CPAP and 40 were placed on IMV. The ages of patients in the CPAP and IMV groups were 45.7 ± 18.12 and 47.10 ± 18.44 years respectively. In both groups, ventilation time before T-piece trial was 2 days. Heart and respiratory parameters were similar for the two groups at 30 minutes of spontaneous breathing trail. The percentage of complications in the CPAP group was lower in both the groups. Length of stay in the intensive care unit was statistically significant when comparing the two groups.

The comparisons of gas measurements between the CPAP and mechanical ventilation (IMV) groups showed no significant differences. The blood gas values at the end of ventilation support removal were as follows PH; 7.45 ± 0.081 verses 7.43 ± 0.061, PaCO\textsubscript{2} 42.05±7.12 versus 43.50±8.0, Arterial Oxygen Pressure; 133.66±29.01 verses 131.38±32.74 and Oxygen saturation was 93.17 ± 18.26 versus 98.04 ± 2.95 for CPAP and 7.44 for IMV groups respectively.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study were that CPAP compared to MV (mechanical ventilation) resulted in better early postoperative blood gases, less atelectasis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>CPAP group (n=40)</th>
<th>MV group (n=40)</th>
<th>significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>7.45±0.081</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.061</td>
<td>0.688 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2</td>
<td>133.66±29.01</td>
<td>131.38±32.74</td>
<td>0.058 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO2</td>
<td>42.05±7.12</td>
<td>43.50±8.0</td>
<td>0.078 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPO2</td>
<td>93.17±18.26</td>
<td>98.04±2.45</td>
<td>0.606 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal volume</td>
<td>530.31±69.44</td>
<td>550.5±68.1</td>
<td>0.057 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEP</td>
<td>7.03±1.49</td>
<td>7.15±1.70</td>
<td>0.097 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freq of breaths’f’</td>
<td>16.63±3.70</td>
<td>17.10±3.82</td>
<td>0.298 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO2</td>
<td>50.40±7.57</td>
<td>50.83±6.84</td>
<td>0.867 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>133.55±22.6</td>
<td>127.77±24.4</td>
<td>0.178 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>74.22±12.77</td>
<td>70.51±13079</td>
<td>0.084 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>77.13±9.15</td>
<td>78.60±13.95</td>
<td>0.077 (NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NIPPV group (n=40)</th>
<th>IMV group (n=40)</th>
<th>significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>45.70±18.12</td>
<td>47.10±18.44</td>
<td>0.528 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight(Kg)</td>
<td>72±13.07</td>
<td>71.13±14.3</td>
<td>0.586 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male / Female</td>
<td>25 / 15</td>
<td>30 / 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICUstay</td>
<td>2.95±0.785</td>
<td>7.44±1.12</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05(significant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and a shorter duration of hospitalization and ICU stay.

Patients usually suffer from atelectasis after bypass surgery. The incidence of atelectasis has been seen in the first postoperative days of cardiac operations to be found as 87.7%. Although atelectasis decreases with time, the incidence has been shown to be high (30%) on the 6th postoperative day. Lung collapse has been shown to be associated with impaired gas exchange and increased shunt fraction, and therefore with reduced arterial oxygenation postoperatively.12 Lung oedema due to Increased extravascular water, in addition to atelectasis may aggravate the shunt.13 Pulmonary function tests obtained 06 days after open heart surgery have revealed a 26% decrease in FEV1 and forced vital capacity (FVC) in patients with a normal chest X-rays, this may reach upto 42% when atelectasis was present. PEEP( Peak end-inspiratory pressures) or CPAP have been shown to provide lung recruitment using high inspiratory pressures of about 35 to 40 cm H₂O.14

Radiological improvement of atelectasis has been seen after open heart surgery with CPAP compared to control groups. Higher PEEP or sustained inflation may reduce cardiac output and left ventricular end-diastolic Pressure in hemodynamically stable patients after cardiac surgery15 Atelectasis can be prevented by taking deep breaths and incentive spirometry. CPAP is used to prevent and treat atelectasis in the postoperative period. It has been shown to reduce venous admixture, shunt fraction and restrictive lung pattern after cardiac surgery.16 CPAP by means of increasing intrathoracic pressure can restore decreased FRC(Fractional residual capacity) and prevent lung collapse, improving hypoxemia and decreases work of breathing, therefore there is recommendation for early application of CPAP in high-risk patients, because a decrease in FRC and deterioration of pulmonary function develop rapidly after extubation.17 Early treatment with CPAP has been shown to reduce the need for intubation, ICU length of stay, and the incidence of ventilator induced pneumonia. Prolong ventilation can lead to infection, resulting in acute hypoxemia after elective major abdominal surgery.18 In some studies pressure support ventilation( PSV) seems to provide greater patient comfort than CPAP due to better alveolar opening and a decrease in work of breathing.16,19 But CPAP is still preferred because of its beneficial effects.

Prophylactic use of Nasal CPAP is recommend ed by some authors, as a preventive measure against postoperative atelectasis, because it is simple method, well-tolerated and improves pulmonary functions, hence reducing morbidity, mortality and length of stay in ICU and hospitalization as well.20

The reason for the intermittent CPAP application in our study was to avoid nausea, vomiting, gastric distention and restricted oral intake. We applied CPAP 02 hourly with 30 minutes gap if the patients conditions allows, airway pressure was kept at a Pressure support of 15mm H₂O,with a PEEP of 07 cm H₂O through a tight fitting CPAP mask, better blood gas levels were obtained at that inspiratory and expiratory pressure levels. All the patients were hemodynamically stable at this airway pressure, in our study.

CPAP provides more advantages in selected group of patients However, there may be some problems limiting the treatment such as patient adaptation, atelectasy and facial ulcers caused by mask pressure. Inspite of the above mentioned limiting factors, CPAP is easily tolerable, lessens the need for sedation, protects the airway swallowing and speech mechanisms, provides opportunity for early mobilization.21

The contraindication for CPAP is in patients with risk for aspiration or excessive secretion, upper airway obstruction, loss of preventive airway reflex and those who are candidates for intubation, it might not be considered in acute respiratory distress syndrome with severe hypoxemy. Some of the side effects are distension of stomach, some lesions on the skin, facial ache, sense of drying in the nose, eye irritation (conjunctivity), clostrophobia, sleep disorders and mask leakage22. CPAP should not be used in patients who must not be resuscitated or uncooperative, in cases where secretions cannot be removed, systolic blood pressure is lower than 90 mmHg or where there is severe acidoses, shock or arrhythmias that cannot be controlled. Also not suitable for obstruction of upper respiratory system.23 We don't have come across any major complications in any of our studied groups, minor complications such as nausea and retching were noticed in few patients in CPAP group.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study suggests that the combination of early extubation and CPAP is a good alternative for ventilation in a group of patients who initially failed weaning. Use of CPAP resulted in efficient gas exchange, improved lung functions and decrease ICU and hospital stay, when compared with conventional mechanical ventilation weaning. Therefore, CPAP is a useful and safe strategy that might be considered during mechanical ventilation weaning.
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